

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/909,406	07/19/2001	Young Wan Kim	45474/RRT/N258	7312	
23363 7	590 . 07/21/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP			BALI, VIKKRAM		
PO BOX 7068 PASADENA,	SADENA, CA 91109-7068		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2623		
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2004	\mathcal{L}	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/909,406	KIM, YOUNG WAN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Vikkram Bali	2623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by significantly and the period for reply will, by significantly received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r i. a reply within the statutory minimum of thin ririod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _					
•	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	,				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,12,14-27,30 and 32-37</u> is/are 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,11,13,28,29 and 31</u> is/are object to restriction are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration. rejected. ected to.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the column 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	,	` ' ' '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4. 	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2623

•

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-4, 9, 12, 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto (US 5351304) in view of Hsu et al (US 5995642).

 With respect to claim 1, Yamamoto discloses a fingerprint recognition system that enhancing a scanned fingerprint, restoring the enhanced image, binarizing the image and thinning the image, (see figure 1, 1-1, 1-2, 1-3, 1-4) as claimed. However, he fails to disclose the detecting the core point, detecting the minutiae, and extracting the numerical value by computing the relation between the minutiae and the core point, as claimed. Hsu in fingerprint classification and verification system teaches the detecting the core point, detecting the minutiae, and extracting the numerical value by computing the relation between the minutiae and the core point, (see col. 1, lines 60 through col. 2 line 3), as claimed. The two references are combinable because they are solving similar problem of fingerprint verification by generating a pattern data, therefore, the references are analogous. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary

Art Unit: 2623

skilled in the art at the time of invention can take the teachings of Hsu calculation of the numerical value by the relation between the core point and the minutiae and incorporated into the Yamamoto's system. This modification provides a system that makes the method able to distinguish between the fingerprints, (see col. 1, lines 52-53 of Hsu).

With respect to claims 3 and 4 it is well known in the art to correct the distortions in the image and to apply inverse filtering or the least square filtering, in order to improve the edge of the image. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention to simply include the conventional methods of edge enhancement such as correct the distortions in the image and to apply inverse filtering or the least square filtering in to the Yamamoto and Has system in order to get the batter edge to get the better yield.

With respect to claim 9, Hsu further teaches the determining a core area and detecting a core point, (see col. 1, lines 60-67) as claimed.

With respect to claim 12, it is well known in the art that in order to locate the minutiae one has to find the bifurcations in the fingerprint pattern. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention to find/detect/locate a bifurcation in the fingerprint pattern in order to get to the minutiae, as it is conventionally done.

Art Unit: 2623

With respect to claim 14, Hsu further teaches, the ordering the detected minutiae, computing a distance, and assembling the numerical values, (see col. 4 and 5, for the details on the figure 5 numerical 503-510) as claimed.

With respect to claims 15-18, it is well known in the art to have fingerprints being use for the online shopping where the fingerprint data is transmitted through internet as secure cordless/wireless data where the fingerprint data is encrypted in order to make the data secure. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention to simply include the known knowledge of the art to have fingerprints encrypted in order to have them [fingerprint secure] for the purpose of online shopping where the fingerprint data is transmitted through internet as secure cordless/wireless data, as this is conventionally done.

3. Claims 2, 5-6, and 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto (US 5351304) in view of Hsu et al (US 5995642) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ferris et al (US 5631972).

With respect to claim 2, Yamamoto and Hsu disclose the invention substantially as disclose and as describe above in claim 1. However, they fail to disclose the enhancing the contrast, filtering the noise, smoothing the image, as claimed. Ferris in fingerprint

Art Unit: 2623

matching teaches enhancing the contrast, filtering the noise, sharpening and smoothing the image, (see figure 1, image processor and col. 6, lines 29-33) as claimed. It would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention to combine the references as they are analogous because they are solving the similar problem of fingerprint matching. The preprocessing of the image as taught by Ferris will can be included in to the Yamamoto and Hsu system in order to come up with a robust fingerprint system (see col. 3, lines 60-68 of Ferris).

With respect to claims 5, 6 and 8, Ferris further teaches the binarizing into black and white using the threshold and thinning comprises reducing the width of the black line, (see col. 6, lines 34-41) as claimed.

4. Claim 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto (US 5351304) in view of Hsu et al (US 5995642) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kanzaki et al (US 6137531).

With respect to claim 7, Yamamoto and Hsu disclose the invention substantially as disclose and as describe above in claim 1. Further more, Hsu teaches partitioning the image into smaller areas, (see figure 9, and col. 3, lines 32-33) as claimed. However, they fail to disclose, binarizing the image using the intensity levels of the image, as claimed. Kanzaki teaches binarizing the image using the intensity levels of the image, (see col. 14, lines 53-57) as claimed.

Art Unit: 2623

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention to combine the teaching of the references because the primary reference of Yamamoto suggested to use any suitable form of binarization method (see col. 2, lines 1-3 of Yamamoto). Furthermore, the teachings of the Kanzaki of binarization of the image by using the intensities is conventional.

Page 6

Claims 19-27, 30, 32-36 are rejected for the same reasons as set forth in the rejections for claims 1-9, 12, 14-18, because claims 19-27, 30, 32-36 are claiming similar subject matter as claims 1-9, 12, 14-18.

Claim 37 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in the rejections for claims 1, because claim 37 is claiming similar subject matter as claims 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 10-11, 13, 28-29 and 31 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/909,406

Art Unit: 2623

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 6038666 HSU et al.

Remote fingerprint verification system for that uses encrypted fingerprint, secure communication system over the wireless medium.

US 6175596 KOBAYASHI et al.

Picture signal encoding where the distortion is corrected and filter using the least square method.

US 6631207 HIROTA et al.

Image processor to improve the character edge by distortion correction.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vikkram Bali whose telephone number is 703.305.4510. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on 703.308.6604. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vikkram Primary P

vb July 16, 2004